MR. ROSENBERG: Your Honor, calling case number RWT-09-0029; United States of America versus Marcus Morris. Your Honor, Special Assistant United States Attorney Kevin Rosenberg on behalf of the government.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. JOHNSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Julie Johnson from the Federal Public Defenders' office on behalf of Marcus Morris, who is present.

THE COURT: Good morning. All right. We're here on a Motion to Suppress. Let me hear any testimony that's going to be offered with respect to that, and then I'll hear your argument.

MR. ROSENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. Before you came out and took the bench, I actually made a request for a few minutes for my testifying officer to grab one thing from his file in his car in the parking lot.

THE COURT: Okay. How long is that going to take?

MR. ROSENBERG: My guess would be that he would be back in two to three minutes. It's just in the parking lot.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, then why don't I go back off the bench and you tell me when he's here?

MR. ROSENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. I apologize.

THE COURT: Unless you've got some other witness.

```
Case 8:10-cr-00029-RWT Document 87 Filed 11/02/11 Page 4 of 60
                         Direct - Nolasco
          MR. ROSENBERG: No, Your Honor. It's just the one
1
   witness.
2
          THE COURT: Okay. Well, call me when you're
3
4
   ready.
                 (Off the record at 8:37 a.m.)
5
                 (On the record at 8:43 a.m.)
6
          THE COURT: You may proceed.
7
          MR. ROSENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.
8
   government calls Detective Juan Nolasco to the stand.
9
10
          THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
                (Witness duly sworn at 8:44 a.m.)
11
          THE CLERK: Please be seated in the witness chair.
12
          Speak loudly and clearly into the microphone,
13
   please. State your full name for the record.
14
15
          THE WITNESS: Juan Nolasco. J-U-A-N
16
  N-O-L-A-S-C-O.
          THE CLERK:
17
                       Thank you.
18
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
          BY MR. ROSENBERG:
19
          Good morning, sir.
20
   Q.
          Good morning.
21
  Α.
22
          Where do you work, sir?
   0.
23
  Α.
          I work for the District Special Assignment Team
  for Prince George's County Police Department.
24
          What is your current rank?
25
   Q.
```

- 1 A. PFC.
- 2 Q. What does that stand for?
- 3 A. Private First Class.
- 4 Q. How long have you been a police officer?
- 5 A. Four and a half years.
- 6 Q. And are you with any special unit?
- 7 A. That's correct. Special Assignment Team out of
- 8 District Three.
- 9 Q. What's the Special Assignment Team?
- 10 A. We're part of the detective bureau. We
- 11 concentrate in high crime areas, drug arrests and gun
- 12 arrests.
- 13 Q. And what type of -- what type of assignments do
- 14 you get in the high crime areas?
- 15 A. We do saturation patrol, surveillance, jump-outs,
- 16 interdiction.
- 17 Q. What does that mean, "jump-outs" and
- 18 "interdiction" and "saturation?"
- 19 A. Saturation means that if an area is a high crime
- 20 area, we'll go in and saturate it with different types of
- 21 vehicles. We'll pretty much put about -- anywhere
- 22 between 10 to 15 officers in the area, and we'll try to
- 23 make as many arrests as we can, you know, depending on
- 24 what kind of a crimes are being committed in the area, in
- 25 order to get information on other type crimes.

- 1 Q. How is it determined what areas your unit will be
- 2 surveilling?
- 3 A. By the amount of calls that we get to that area.
- 4 Q. All right. Now, do you remember -- were you also
- on the force on December 10th 2009?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Okay. Do you remember arresting a Marcus Morris?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Can you explain to the Court what your assignment
- 10 was that night?
- 11 A. It was Walker Mill Road, in the Silver Hill area.
- 12 Simply, I was just driving around patrolling, road
- 13 patrol, high visibility patrol. Just driving through the
- 14 area.
- 15 Q. What type of area is this?
- 16 A. It's an area known for a lot of violent crime,
- 17 shootings, robberies, drugs.
- 18 | Q. And how is it known for shootings, robbery, drugs?
- 19 Why do you say that?
- 20 A. We've had plenty of them. Just this year alone we
- 21 had a -- actually, last year we had a juvenile actually
- 22 get shot in that area.
- 23 Q. All right. Have you made any arrests in that area
- 24 before the date in question?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Have you made any firearm arrests?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Are you able to estimate how many arrests you've
- 4 made in that area?
- 5 A. I can tell you that my team alone has made
- 6 numerous arrests in that area.
- 7 Q. What do you mean by numerous?
- 8 A. It would be hard. This year alone we've recovered
- 9 about 90 weapons off the streets, and I can say that a
- 10 lot of those have come from that area.
- 11 Q. Have you made any drug -- controlled substances or
- 12 drug arrests in that area?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What about any arrests for violent offenses?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. So when you're traveling in that area, do you have
- 17 a heightened sense of safety concerns?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Is that opposed to other areas? Or, why would you
- 20 say that?
- 21 A. It's just a violent place and it's known for it.
- 22 Q. All right. Okay. At some point that night, did
- 23 you come in contact with a Marcus Morris?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- 25 O. Can you explain how that happened?

- 1 A. First, I observed the amount of distance. He was
- 2 riding a bike in the middle of Walker Mill Road,
- 3 zigzagging in between lanes, oncoming and outgoing
- 4 traffic.
- 5 Q. When you say "Walker Mill Road," can you describe
- 6 what kind of road that is?
- 7 A. It's a four-lane. Two lanes one way, two lanes
- 8 the other way. It's four lanes not divided by a median.
- 9 Q. What's the speed limit on that road?
- 10 A. I believe it's about 35 or 40.
- 11 Q. What time of night or day did you encounter him?
- 12 A. It was night. It was nighttime. I don't recall
- 13 the exact time.
- 14 O. Are you able to estimate a time?
- 15 A. I want to say probably around one. Maybe 12:30,
- 16 one in the morning.
- 17 Q. So that would be one -- 12:30 or one a.m.?
- 18 A. A.m. Correct.
- 19 Q. What's the lighting like on this road?
- 20 A. Artificial lighting. There are some light poles,
- 21 but it's -- it looks quite dark.
- 22 Q. Are you in a one-man or a two-man car?
- 23 A. I'm in a one-man unit in an unmarked vehicle.
- 24 | O. And is there a difference between an unmarked
- 25 vehicle and an undercover vehicle?

- 1 A. An undercover could be a leased vehicle; it could
- 2 be a rental car vehicle. An unmarked has lights, it has
- 3 a radio, it has a computer installed in it and so on and
- 4 so forth.
- 5 Q. How were you dressed this night?
- 6 A. I have a jacket, a vest that says "POLICE" on it,
- 7 and I have my uniform, my blue uniform, is underneath.
- 8 Q. So when do you see Marcus Morris?
- 9 A. I see him at a distance. All I see him, it's
- 10 going -- coming in and out of the lanes, at which point
- 11 my first thought is I know that he sees me coming because
- 12 I have lights on my vehicle, the headlights. So I'm
- 13 expecting him to get out of the way at some point, but he
- 14 just doesn't.
- 15 Q. How far away could you see him?
- 16 A. I was heading towards, I don't know, say about
- 17 30'.
- 18 | Q. Okay. How many times did you see him pass into
- 19 the oncoming traffic lane on his bicycle?
- 20 A. Twice.
- 21 Q. What happens when you approach him on your --
- 22 A. I kept going in my normal lane because I figured,
- 23 you know, if I try to make any movements right now I
- 24 might hit him.
- And eventually, towards the -- right when I'm

- about to, like, strike him, he actually gets out of the way and goes back on to the sidewalk.
- 3 Q. All right. So what do you do at this point?
- 4 A. At this point I, made a U-turn, flipped my lights,
- 5 and come back towards him.
- 6 Q. All right. And how do you come back towards him?
- 7 A. I made a U-turn, and I stopped the cruiser. He
- 8 was in the opposite direction. So at this point, I'm on
- 9 the opposite side of the road with my emergency equipment
- 10 on and I'm stopped parallel to him, where I begin to ask
- 11 him questions.
- 12 Q. When you're stopped parallel to him, are you
- 13 speaking to him through the passenger side window or
- 14 through the driver's side window?
- 15 A. Through the driver's side window.
- 16 Q. At this point, do you have your lights and sirens
- 17 on?
- 18 A. Just the lights.
- 19 Q. Where is he at this point?
- 20 A. He is right next to me on his bike, just sitting
- 21 there.
- 22 Q. And where are you at this point?
- 23 A. Still in the car talking to him. I asked him,
- 24 like, are you okay? You know, my first thought of him
- 25 was that he might be drunk or on some type of substance.

- 1 Q. Why would you think that?
- 2 A. Because it's not normal for somebody to drive a
- 3 bicycle like that in the middle of the road.
- 4 Q. At what time of night, again, was this?
- 5 A. At one o'clock in the morning.
- 6 Q. Did he respond to you when you asked if he was
- 7 okay?
- 8 A. No. He was -- he just was stuck; wouldn't say
- 9 anything. I asked him what his name was, asked him if he
- 10 was okay, and the only response I got from him was "uh."
- 11 So at this point, I decided to step out of the vehicle.
- 12 I said, why don't you come over here and let me see if
- 13 you have any ID on you. And when I attempted to try to
- 14 pat him down, he threw the bike at me and takes off
- 15 running, reaching into his waistband.
- 16 Q. When you say that you stepped out of the vehicle
- 17 and then attempted to pat him down, can you explain at
- 18 what point he threw the bike and started to run?
- 19 A. As soon as I opened the door and I tried to, like,
- 20 step out, I saw the bike coming at me.
- 21 Q. Okay. So, were you able to get completely out of
- 22 the vehicle before the bike came at you?
- 23 A. I think I was, like, halfway in and out. I don't
- 24 recall exactly.
- 25 Q. Do you remember what Mr. Morris was wearing that

- 1 day?
- 2 A. Yes. He had a thick North Face jacket on -- I
- 3 think it had some lettering on it -- a cap and, I
- 4 believe, black pants.
- 5 Q. So were you close enough to him to be able to
- 6 notice what type of clothing he was wearing?
- 7 A. Yes. At that point, yes.
- 8 Q. What month was this again?
- 9 A. September, I believe, or October.
- 10 Q. All right. Would this type of thick North Face
- 11 jacket give you any cause for concern, given the month
- 12 that it was in?
- 13 A. Yes. It wasn't that cold, I remember that. And I
- 14 know that based on my training and experience, I know
- 15 that people usually wear that type of clothing to hide or
- 16 conceal weapons or drugs.
- 17 Q. Okay. And did you come to that conclusion in a
- 18 combination of the fact that it was -- the neighborhood
- 19 that you were patrolling?
- 20 A. Correct. Once he reacted in the manner that he
- 21 did and started running and reaching into his waistband,
- 22 yes, I believed that he was possibly armed.
- 23 Q. When you say reaching into his waistband, what was
- 24 he doing?
- 25 A. He was lifting his jacket and digging into his

- waistband, which actually put me in fear for my life and caused me to draw my weapon.
- 3 Q. Which hand was he using to dig into his waistband?
- 4 A. I believe his right hand.
- 5 Q. Now, when you say that he threw his bike and
- 6 started to run, can you describe how he started to run?
- 7 A. Fast.
- 8 Q. Which direction?
- 9 A. Well, the same direction that both of our vehicles
- 10 were facing at the point. So, away from the cruiser
- 11 towards -- I forget what the name -- what road that is,
- 12 but I believe it is Rolling Road.
- 13 Q. What was that?
- 14 A. I believe he was running towards Rolling Road.
- 15 It's like the next block over.
- 16 Q. Did you have a chance to call for backup at this
- 17 time when he started to run?
- 18 A. No, not at this time. Because he was reaching
- 19 into his waistband at that time, the only thing I was --
- 20 I could think of was he's definitely trying to grab
- 21 something out of his waistband, and I wasn't sure if he
- 22 was trying to get a tactical advantage on me. So at that
- 23 point I decided to go after him.
- 24 Q. How long into the foot chase did you pull your
- 25 service weapon?

- 1 A. Immediately. As soon as I saw him reach into his
- 2 waistband.
- 3 Q. Were you saying anything to him?
- 4 A. Yes. I told him that he needed to stop and show
- 5 me his hands or that I would shoot him.
- 6 Q. And what did he do?
- 7 A. He turned around towards me and was still reaching
- 8 into his waistband until, finally, he brought both of his
- 9 hands up and went down to the ground, at which point he
- 10 was taken into custody.
- 11 Q. Now, how many -- how long would you say that the
- 12 foot chase lasted?
- 13 A. A short -- I couldn't tell you. Maybe less than a
- 14 minute.
- 15 Q. Okay. Would you be able to estimate feet or
- 16 yards?
- 17 A. Maybe anywhere from 15' to 20'.
- 18 Q. Feet or yards? Which?
- 19 A. Feet.
- 20 Q. What did he do once he stopped?
- 21 A. Once he went down to the ground and I was placing
- 22 him into handcuffs, and he kept saying, "You can have it.
- 23 You can have it. Just take it. Just take it."
- 24 Q. Did you follow up on those statements?
- 25 A. I had no clue what he was talking about. But when

- I reached into his waistband, I felt the gun and at that point I put two and two together.
- 3 Q. Why did you reach into his waistband?
- 4 A. Because that's where he was reaching for at the
- 5 beginning of the chase, so I figured I might as well make
- 6 sure that he doesn't have a weapon on him or something
- 7 like that. But at that point I have him restrained. So
- 8 at this point, yes, I'm reaching into his waistband and
- 9 making sure.
- 10 Q. What did you find in his waistband?
- 11 A. It was .40 Caliber handgun in a holster.
- 12 Q. Did it have any ammunition in it?
- 13 A. Yes. It was fully loaded.
- 14 0. What did you do once you found the firearm in his
- 15 | waistband?
- 16 A. Once I found the firearm in his waistband, I made
- 17 it safe, and I called for backup.
- 18 Q. Do you eventually take him to the police station?
- 19 A. Yes. He was taken down to the District Three
- 20 station, where he waived his rights and gave us a written
- 21 statement.
- 22 Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as
- 23 Government's Exhibit No. 1.
- Do you recognize this document, officer?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Is that your name at the top of that?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- 3 0. And what is this document?
- 4 A. This is the Advice of Rights form that was given
- 5 to Mr. Morris, and that is his signature at the bottom
- 6 where it says "signature of person making the statement."
- 7 Q. I'm going to show you the second page of this
- 8 document.
- 9 What's this second page?
- 10 A. That is the statement that he wrote.
- 11 Q. Is this written in his handwriting?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. At any point in the statement, does he make
- 14 mention of riding his bicycle in the street?
- 15 A. Correct. Right at the end, he says that he got
- 16 off balance and proceeded -- veered into the road into
- 17 oncoming traffic in front of a vehicle, and then proceeds
- 18 to say that that vehicle was an officer.
- 19 Q. At any point in your questioning of Mr. Morris,
- 20 did he ever state that he wished to speak with an
- 21 attorney?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- 23 Q. Did he seem to understand all the rights that you
- 24 presented him with, with the Advice of Rights form?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. One moment please, Your Honor.
- No further questions at this time, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. Cross.
- 4 MS. JOHNSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- BY MS. JOHNSON:
- 7 Q. Court's indulgence.
- 8 Good morning, Officer Nolasco.
- 9 A. Good morning, ma'am.
- 10 Q. You said you've been an officer for four and a
- 11 half years; is that correct?
- 12 A. Close.

5

- 13 Q. Have you been with Prince George's County that
- 14 entire time?
- 15 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 16 Q. Were you wearing a badge the night of September
- 17 10th 2009?
- 18 A. I believe so.
- 19 Q. And the government was asking you a number of
- 20 questions on your experience in that area of 5701 Walker
- 21 | Mill Road, but I want to focus your attention on your
- 22 experiences prior to September 10th 2009, prior to
- 23 Mr. Morris' arrest.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. Prior to that time, had you had experience

- 1 patrolling that particular neighborhood?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And for how long?
- 4 A. For about -- I want to say -- was it '09? About
- 5 two years.
- 6 Q. And how many arrests had you made in the area of
- 7 5701 Walker Mill Road as of September 10th 2009?
- 8 A. I don't know.
- 9 Q. Could you say if it was more than ten?
- 10 A. Right on 5701? None.
- 11 Q. And so you don't recall that you had made any
- 12 particular arrests in that specific area as of September
- 13 10th 2009.
- 14 A. No. I do not recall, ma'am.
- 15 Q. Okay. How familiar would you say you were with
- 16 that block as of September 10th 2009?
- 17 A. Pretty familiar. You hear the calls coming over
- 18 the radio all the time.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. And we patrol there all the time.
- 21 | Q. Okay. But I'm asking about your particular
- 22 experience. Not the department in general, but your
- 23 particular experience.
- 24 A. Oh. That would be my particular experience,
- 25 | ma'am. We have responded to many calls there.

- 1 Q. Do you recall that when you first called in your
- 2 stop of Mr. Morris that you gave a different location?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. Do you recall that you gave an address of 5701
- 5 | Spring Mill Road; is that correct?
- 6 A. Either that or Silver Hill, one of the two.
- 7 Q. And there was -- there was some exchange there on
- 8 the KGA communications trying to clarify your exact
- 9 location; is that correct?
- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 Q. The dispatcher was asking you if there were any
- 12 stores in your area?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Finally, after a little bit, you were able to
- 15 | identify your correct location; is that correct?
- 16 A. Mm-hmm. "Yes"
- 17 Q. The original location that you gave is over a mile
- 18 away from the location where you actually were; is that
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. All four and a half years have been with the
- 22 Prince George's County Police?
- 23 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q. And have your duties changed during those four and
- 25 a half years?

- 1 A. I was in patrol for a year; was in the SAT team
- 2 for -- ever since then. I came back to patrol for a few
- 3 month [sic], and then went right back to the SAT team.
- 4 Q. I'm sorry. Went back to?
- 5 A. SAT team. The Special Assignment Team.
- 6 Q. And why was there a break in the Special
- 7 Assignment Team?
- 8 A. Just the way the rotation happens.
- 9 Q. Now, you indicated that at -- on September 10th
- 10 2009, you saw Mr. Morris riding his bicycle in the road.
- 11 What direction was -- were you traveling at the
- 12 time?
- 13 A. I was traveling towards Marlboro Pike from Walker
- 14 Mill. I'm not sure whether that's north or south. I
- 15 believe it's south. He was traveling the opposite
- 16 direction towards me.
- 17 Q. Okay. So, he was traveling away from Marlboro
- 18 Pike?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And you were traveling -- okay. There were no
- 21 other vehicles on the road at the time, other than your
- 22 vehicle and Mr. Morris' bike; is that correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. Now, you said you saw him sort of crossing lanes.
- 25 Did he actually cross the center line, or was he

- sort of weaving in between the lanes on the side of the road?
- 3 A. Yes. He crossed the center line.
- Q. Now, when he crossed, he then went up on the sidewalk on the opposite side of the street; is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. I believe he crossed in front of me, away from the 8 sidewalk, and then came back to the sidewalk.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry. I'm not understanding the sequence.
- 10 A. So, he crosses that way. (Indicating.) Right? I
- 11 almost strike him. He keeps going. Then he comes back
- 12 to the sidewalk. So he actually crossed the double
- 13 yellow line in order to avoid me, and I had to actually
- 14 veer towards the closer side of the sidewalk in order to
- 15 avoid him.
- 16 Q. Which side of the roadway was he on when he
- 17 crossed in front of your vehicle?
- 18 A. Well, first -- the first time he -- I saw him come
- 19 off the sidewalk, cross the double yellow line, and come
- 20 back this way. As I'm approaching him, he once again
- 21 goes across the double solid yellow line right where I
- 22 almost struck him. And I got to veer off towards the
- 23 sidewalk, and then he comes back to the sidewalk.
- 24 Q. Behind your vehicle?
- 25 A. Behind my vehicle. Correct.

- 1 Q. And then he was on the sidewalk when -- after you
- 2 had made your U-turn and pulled up next to him; is that
- 3 | correct?
- 4 A. Yes. Once I made the U-turn and pulled up next to
- 5 him, he was on the sidewalk.
- 6 Q. And you activated your lights, and Mr. Morris
- 7 stopped at that point; is that correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. And he had his feet down on the ground?
- 10 A. Mm-hmm. "Yes."
- 11 Q. Did he dismount the bicycle, or was he straddling
- 12 it at that point?
- 13 A. No. He was straddling it at that point.
- 14 Q. When you rolled your window down, what distance
- 15 would you say you were from Mr. Morris at that time?
- 16 A. Pretty close. Maybe 4' or 5'.
- 17 Q. When you asked him, "You okay?", do you recall him
- 18 saying, "I'm fine?"
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. And when you asked for identification, do you
- 21 recall him saying that he indicating that he did have
- 22 | identification and starting to reach for his pocket?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Now, in your arrest report, which has been marked
- 25 as I think Government's Exhibit No. 3 -- do you recognize

- 1 this document?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Okay. And who was responsible for completing this
- 4 document?
- 5 A. I am.
- 6 Q. And this narrative down here. Did you have any
- 7 conversations with anyone before completing this
- 8 | narrative?
- 9 A. No, ma'am.
- 10 Q. Directing your attention to about eight lines
- 11 down. It says that you attempted to conduct a pat down
- 12 for weapons.
- Do you see that?
- 14 A. Mm-hmm. "Yes."
- 15 Q. And what was the -- and your determination at this
- 16 point was based on the fact that you felt that
- 17 Mr. Morris was not being very responsive and that he was
- 18 wearing a jacket that you believe was not weather
- 19 appropriate; is that correct?
- 20 A. Mm-hmm. "Yes."
- COURT REPORTER: Can you say "yes" or "no,"
- 22 please?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 24 COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
- BY MS. JOHNSON:

- 1 Q. When you attempted to conduct the pat down, you
- 2 actually made physical contact with Mr. Morris at that
- 3 point; is that correct?
- 4 A. Possible. Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q. And did you feel anything at that time, when you
- 6 made that initial contact with Mr. Morris?
- 7 A. I don't recall.
- 8 Q. So you don't recall feeling any bulges or --
- 9 A. It's possible. Like I said, everything happened
- 10 quite quick.
- 11 Q. And then you say that you began to open up the car
- 12 door, and at that point Mr. Morris -- you said he's
- 13 straddling the bicycle. Explain to me --
- 14 A. He's straddling the bicycle. I came out of the
- 15 vehicle the same time the bike is coming at me. I might
- 16 have made contact with him; I don't recall.
- 17 Q. And you say that he then took off, you said, about
- 18 -- I think about 15' to 20'?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And you thought maybe that took about a minute?
- 21 A. Sort of.
- 22 Q. Now, if I direct your attention to the tabbed
- 23 | sheet -- I apologize. I don't have this marked. If I
- 24 could get this marked as Defense Exhibit 1.
- 25 Handing you what's been marked as Defense Exhibit

- 1 No. 1.
- 2 A. Mm-hmm. "Yes."
- 3 Q. Have you seen this document before?
- 4 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q. Okay. What is it, please?
- 6 A. It's a CAD sheet. It shows the call for service
- 7 which I called out with.
- 8 Q. And this reflects when you were calling in your
- 9 stop with Mr. Morris; is that correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. So it looks like at 1:36:08. So, it's 1:36 in the
- 12 morning?
- 13 A. Mm-hmm. "Yes."
- 14 Q. You're calling in that you've stopped someone on
- 15 the bike; is that correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And then at 1:36:38, you're calling in that you
- 18 have one in custody; is that correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. So, that's 30 seconds later?
- 21 A. Mm-hmm. "Yes."
- 22 Q. Right. Now, you said when you had Mr. -- I'm
- 23 sorry. Backing up. The clothing that Mr. Morris was
- 24 wearing. You said it was a North Face jacket. That was
- 25 a fleece jacket; correct?

- 1 A. North Face jacket, ma'am. Sort of like a big
- 2 coat. I don't know.
- 3 Q. Well, North Face makes a number of jackets. I'm
- 4 just trying to clarify was it a fleece jacket? Was it,
- 5 like, a solid jacket? What kind of jacket was it?
- 6 A. The best I can recall, it was a jacket, a long
- 7 jacket. It's black, and he has some red letterings on
- 8 it.
- 9 Q. Do you recall what the weight of the jacket was?
- 10 A. No, I do not.
- 11 Q. Do you ride bicycles, Officer Nolasco?
- 12 A. I'm sorry?
- 13 Q. Do you ride bicycles for exercise?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. You're not familiar, when you're riding 15, 20
- 16 miles an hour, there is a lot of wind that's generated
- 17 when you're riding a bicycle?
- 18 A. Possibly, yeah.
- 19 Q. When you -- you said you found the firearm after
- 20 Mr. Morris was down on the ground; is that correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. You said you -- I believe you said you reached in
- 23 to confirm whether or not there was a weapon on his
- 24 person?
- 25 A. Mm-hmm. "Yes."

- 1 Q. Where exactly did you find the gun?
- 2 A. I believe it was on his right side of his body, in
- 3 his waistband.
- 4 Q. In the waistband.
- And what kind of pants was he wearing?
- 6 A. I don't recall. They were black pants, possible
- 7 -- I don't know. Possibly jeans. Possibly sweats. I
- 8 don't recall.
- 9 Q. I'm not asking you to speculate.
- 10 The best you can recall, what kind of pants was he
- 11 wearing at the time?
- 12 A. I couldn't tell you, ma'am.
- 13 Q. Was the gun found by itself?
- 14 A. No. It was in sort of like a black holster.
- 15 Q. So the gun was in the holster.
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Was the holster fastened?
- 18 A. I believe it was.
- 19 Q. And was the holster fastened around his waist? Or
- 20 was the gun holster tucked into his pants?
- 21 A. I believe it might have been just simply tucked in
- 22 there. I'm not sure. I don't remember having to
- 23 unfasten.
- 24 Q. When Mr. Morris was taken into the police station,
- 25 you weren't the officer who actually advised him of his

- 1 rights; is that correct?
- 2 A. At the station?
- 3 0. At the station.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You were?
- 6 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 7 | Q. There weren't two female officers who met with
- 8 Mr. Morris to advise him of his rights?
- 9 A. She might have done it too.
- 10 Q. And you weren't with Mr. Morris when he was
- 11 completing his statement; is that correct?
- 12 A. I think I was. Detective Pettis might have been
- 13 also there.
- 14 0. You don't recall that there were two female
- 15 officers who were with Mr. Morris, a Caucasian and an
- 16 African-American detective who were with him when he was
- 17 giving his statement?
- 18 A. No. He might have talked to them too. I'm not
- 19 sure.
- 20 Q. Now, directing your attention to Government's
- 21 Exhibit No. 1, which you earlier identified as
- 22 Mr. Morris' written statement.
- 23 A. Mm-hmm. "Yes."
- 24 Q. There is no signature here indicating that it's
- 25 been witnessed by anyone. Do you see that?

- 1 A. Correct. Yes, I notice. But you can see my name
- 2 clearly on the top, my handwriting.
- 3 Q. I understand that. But this is supposed to be
- 4 signed by someone witnessing the statement; is that
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 7 Q. And on the second page there's a question written.
- Do you know whose handwriting that is? It says,
- 9 "What kind of gun did you find?"
- 10 A. That is Officer Pettis -- Detective Pettis'
- 11 handwriting, I believe.
- 12 Q. I'm sorry. Detective?
- 13 A. Pettis.
- 14 Q. Is that P-E-T-T-I-S or U-S?
- 15 A. Mm-hmm. "Yes."
- 16 Q. And there is no answer reflected. Do you know why
- 17 that is?
- 18 A. Probably because he didn't want to answer.
- 19 Q. Well, I'm not asking you to speculate. I'm asking
- 20 you if you know why there is no answer.
- 21 A. Because he didn't want to answer.
- 22 Q. And is it your testimony that you were in the room
- 23 at the time that Officer Pettis was asking Mr. Morris
- 24 that question?
- 25 A. Not that specific question. No.

- 1 Q. So you don't really know what the reason was that
- 2 there is no answer reflected, since you weren't in the
- 3 room at that time?
- 4 A. It's pretty obvious there is not an answer.
- 5 Q. I'm asking you, you weren't in the room at the
- 6 time that question was asked?
- 7 A. Not at the time the question was asked. No,
- 8 ma'am.
- 9 Q. Now, turning back to Government's Exhibit No. 3.
- 10 This arrest report that you completed for Mr. Morris.
- 11 How did you get the -- did you complete this entire form
- 12 yourself?
- 13 A. It's a computer system. As you put in the
- 14 information, it generates it for you.
- 15 Q. Were you the individual who adds the information?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. No one else entered information on this form?
- 18 A. I'm sorry?
- 19 Q. There is no one else, other than yourself, who
- 20 entered information on this form?
- 21 A. No, ma'am.
- 22 | Q. Okay. Directing your attention to the top of the
- 23 | form. Up here there's a Social Security number right
- 24 here.
- Do you see that?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Okay. Where did you get that information from?
- 3 Mr. Morris?
- 4 A. I can only guess that it was from him, ma'am.
- 5 Q. Well, I'm not asking you to guess. I'm just
- 6 asking for your best recollection.
- 7 A. From him.
- 8 Q. And down here it says, "Narcotics or drug user?"
- 9 And it says, "Yes. Marijuana."
- What is that information based on?
- 11 A. I don't recall. I don't recall.
- 12 Q. Okay. Lastly, a couple of lines further down, it
- 13 says, "Did the defendant make a statement?" And it
- 14 indicates "No."
- 15 A. Okay. Once again, that's from the computer
- 16 generating the report. I'm not sure if I forgot to
- 17 checkmark something. It could have been anything.
- 18 Q. Okay. But the computer wouldn't generate "No"
- 19 unless someone inputs "No."
- 20 A. No, not necessarily. If you fail to put "Yes" on
- 21 a box or something like that, it would generate an
- 22 automatic answer of "No."
- 23 Q. Okay. At what time was this report completed?
- 24 A. I don't remember. I believe it's on there. But
- 25 -- I mean, the arrest happened around 1:36 in the

- 1 morning, so I will say probably two, three o'clock in the
- 2 morning.
- 3 Q. It would be after Mr. Morris made his statement;
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Yes. Correct.
- 6 Q. Court's indulgence, Your Honor.
- 7 As of September 10th 2009, how many individuals
- 8 had you arrested who -- on whom you had found weapons
- 9 concealed beneath heavy clothing?
- 10 A. I couldn't really tell you how many. I'm pretty
- 11 sure that arrests have been made. We make gun arrests
- 12 all the time.
- 13 Q. But you can't tell, me as of September 10th 2009,
- 14 whether you had recovered any firearms from someone
- 15 wearing heavy clothing; is that correct?
- 16 A. No, I couldn't tell you.
- 17 Q. Did you take any photos of Mr. Morris at the time
- 18 of his arrest on the street?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. And where is that photo?
- 21 A. There is one of him, actually, on my computer.
- $22 \mid Q$. Is that the one that you provided to Mr.- --
- 23 A. No, it is not.
- $24 \mid Q$. We would request a copy of that picture.
- 25 Court's indulgence.

- If I may just --
- 2 (Discussion off the record between defense
- 3 counsel and the defendant.)
- MS. JOHNSON: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Is there redirect?
- 6 MR. ROSENBERG: Yes, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- BY MR. ROSENBERG:
- 9 Q. Officer Nolasco, were there multiple reports
- 10 generated as a result of this arrest?
- 11 A. Yes. Incident report, arrest report, statement of
- 12 rights, statement of charges. I think that covers about
- 13 all of them.
- 14 O. All right.
- 15 A. Property records.
- 16 Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as
- 17 Government's Exhibit No. 4 and ask you what this report
- 18 is.

7

8

- 19 A. Very well. That is the incident report.
- 20 Q. What is the difference between an incident report
- 21 and the report that you were shown by defense counsel?
- 22 A. The incident report is the report that we do for
- 23 every incident that occurs. The arrest report is
- 24 generated usually once you go into the Department of
- 25 Corrections.

- 1 Q. Is one report considered more thorough or complete
- 2 than the other report?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Which report would be considered the more thorough
- 5 report?
- 6 A. Well, with the new system, it's actually the same
- 7 probable cause at the back, but in the front his
- 8 information is going to be -- it should be more
- 9 consistent.
- 10 Q. Now, I'm going to show you Page 2 of this report
- 11 and ask you if anywhere in this report it notes that
- 12 Mr. Morris waived his rights and gave a written statement
- 13 to authorities.
- 14 A. Yes. At the end.
- THE COURT: Mr. Rosenberg, I believe that the
- 16 report, Exhibit No. 3, that has a place noting no
- 17 statement was made also mentions a statement being made
- 18 in the narrative.
- 19 MR. ROSENBERG: You are correct, Your Honor.
- BY MR. ROSENBERG:
- 21 Q. Officer, as part of the SAT team, are you given
- 22 training in what neighborhoods are either high crime or
- 23 | safety areas?
- 24 A. We are given information prior to us making
- 25 patrols in those areas. Yes.

- 1 Q. I understand you can't remember how many specific
- 2 arrests you made at this arrest on Walker Mill Road, but
- 3 is this address at Walker Mill Road part of a larger area
- 4 or zone?
- 5 A. Yes. It is within the Capitol Heights zone, which
- 6 is pretty much an area known for violent crimes.
- 7 Q. Prior to this arrest, how many arrests had you
- 8 made in your time at the SAT team in this Capitol Heights
- 9 area?
- 10 A. A lot. Numerous.
- 11 | Q. You got to give me a number, though, or an
- 12 estimated number.
- 13 A. I would say probably close to a hundred.
- 14 | Q. Close or over a hundred?
- 15 A. Close to a hundred or so.
- 16 Q. How many of those involved firearms?
- 17 A. At that time, two and a half years, I would say
- 18 maybe ten.
- 19 Q. Okay. And in your experience, do people attempt
- 20 to conceal firearms with larger fitting clothing?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Is this -- what gave you cause for alarm when you
- 23 noticed Mr. Morris' clothing?
- 24 A. That was one, besides him not answering my
- 25 questions and so on and so forth.

- Q. Okay. And when you say that you attempted to conduct a pat down, did you actually have him stationery
- 3 while patting him down?
- 4 A. No. I was getting out of my car and moving
- 5 towards him to attempt to conduct the pat down.
- 6 Q. Okay. So did you ever -- were you ever able to
- 7 begin the pat down, or did he flee before you were able
- 8 to begin?
- 9 A. No. That's when he threw the bike and, like I
- 10 said, I might have made contact with him; I'm not sure.
- 11 And I believe that I probably did, but not sure. But at
- 12 that point, he was already on the run.
- 13 Q. Was your contact in the form of patting down his
- 14 outer clothes or reaching out to grab him when he was
- 15 attempting to flee?
- 16 A. It was both, I would say.
- 17 Q. Now, you were shown a copy of the CAD sheet --
- 18 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 19 Q. -- and asked about the 30-second difference
- 20 between one male stopped on a bike and then one male in
- 21 custody?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Can you explain why there is only a 30-second
- 24 difference in that?
- 25 A. The first time I called out to give out my

Redirect - Nolasco

- 1 location. And at the same time I'm dealing with Mr.
- 2 Morris and I have people coming, I had somebody else come
- 3 on a bike at the time that I'm putting him in handcuffs
- 4 and so on and so forth. So I'm dealing with a lot of
- 5 stuff at that point in time. So, I called out first just
- 6 to give my location out so that other units in the area
- 7 knew where I was in case that it went from bad to worse.
- 8 And then I called again just to make sure that everybody
- 9 slows down, to make sure that they don't get into an
- 10 accident trying to find where I'm at.
- 11 Q. Did you call out your location, that first
- 12 printout on the CAD sheet, before or after you had
- 13 Mr. Morris in handcuffs?
- 14 A. That was in -- it was after, I believe. It was
- 15 after. But I was still dealing with the hand gun and a
- 16 person coming up to the scene.
- 17 Q. When you made the U-turn and pulled up to
- 18 Mr. Morris, did you call that out to the radio?
- 19 A. No, I did not.
- 20 Q. Why not?
- 21 A. I didn't think. I didn't know what I had. I
- 22 didn't want to, you know, call out with something that
- 23 | was nothing, because as far as I was concerned it was
- 24 simply a citizen. He could have been drunk. He could
- 25 have been anything. I didn't know what I had yet.

Recross - Nolasco

MR. ROSENBERG: No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Any recross?

2

3

4

5

6

7

MS. JOHNSON: Just briefly, Your Honor.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MS. JOHNSON:

- Q. Mr. Rosenberg asked you about your training that you had had. How recent was that training, prior to the
- 9 night of September 10th 2009?
- 10 A. They tell us all the time -- we get reports all
- 11 the time telling us, okay, these are areas that we need
- 12 to hit and this is why: There's been a shooting here.
- 13 There's been a shooting here, so forth. That's how we
- 14 conduct our day-to-day business.
- 15 Q. How long had you been patrolling by yourself as of
- 16 September 10th 2009?
- 17 A. Since I graduated FTO. About -- almost close to
- 18 two years.
- 19 Q. Close to two years as of September 10th 2009?
- 20 A. I believe so.
- 21 Q. Okay. I just want to ask you -- I'll mark this as
- 22 Defense Exhibit No. 2.
- I'm handing you what's been marked as Defense
- 24 Exhibit No. 2. Do you recognize this document?
- 25 A. It looks like e-mail?

Recross - Nolasco

- 1 Q. Have you ever seen this document before?
- 2 A. No, I can't say I have.
- 3 0. Okay. For the record, it's an e-mail from a
- 4 Catherine Benedict to a George Hazel and copying a
- 5 William McMullen.
- 6 Were you -- did you have any conversations with
- 7 Ms. Benedict about your arrest of Mr. Morris?
- 8 A. I believe I did. Possible.
- 9 Q. Looking a few lines down into this, it indicates
- 10 that you pulled over and approached "him," referring to
- 11 Mr. Morris, while being clearly marked as the police by
- 12 the badge and patches on his vest, uniform. And "badges"
- 13 and "patches" is crossed out, and you will see there's
- 14 handwriting that says, "New. No badge yet."
- Do you see that?
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. Okay. Do you know what that's referring to, sir?
- 18 A. It's referring to a plastic badge that we usually
- 19 wear on the top corner of the vest.
- 20 Q. Okay. But why would it be saying, "New. No badge
- 21 yet, " with respect to you?
- 22 A. Probably they didn't have it in the -- on the
- 23 vest.
- 24 Q. Had you been issued a badge as of September 10th
- 25 2009?

Recross - Nolasco

- A. Not a plastic one that goes on the vest. I have my regular badge inside the vest carrier.
 - O. Okay. And what's the difference?
- 4 A. There is really no difference. It looks exactly 5 the same, except the one goes -- attaches to the vest,
- and it stays there, while the other one doesn't. So, you know, you don't want to lose it.
- 8 Q. Okay. So you didn't have a visible badge on you 9 at the time.
- 10 A. Okay.

3

- MS. JOHNSON: No further questions.
- THE COURT: All right. You may step down.
- (Witness excused at 9:29 a.m.)
- 14 The COURT: I'll hear argument on the motion.
- Thank you, officer.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MS. JOHNSON: Well, I think we've got a few problems here with the stop of Mr. Morris. I think the
- 19 testimony from Officer Nolasco is clear that he when he
- 20 approached Mr. Morris he didn't perceive any immediate
- threat; he didn't even feel a need to call it into his
- 22 dispatch.
- And when he approached Mr. Morris on the street,
- 24 first of all, there was a seizure that occurred as soon
- 25 as he turned on his lights. And Mr. Morris submitted to

that show of authority. But there certainly was -- he, by his own testimony, said he reached out and attempted to conduct a patdown and probably believes that he made contact with Mr. Morris, both in terms of trying to stop him from going away and also in terms of trying to pat down for weapons. And at that point, based on his own testimony, the reasons for him attempting to conduct a patdown were that Mr. Morris was not being very responsive and that he was wearing a jacket that he believed was not weather appropriate for the time.

That is simply not sufficient under the case law, starting with <u>Terry versus Ohio</u> and all the way down to justify a frisk of Mr. Morris for weapons. The standard is very clear. There has to be --

THE COURT: Don't you have a lot more than that though? You have a person out late at night in a high crime area going the wrong direction on a highway, crossing the line right in the direction where the police officer had to change his cruiser, potentially intoxicated or on drugs. He's violating the motor vehicle laws.

And he comes up and says, are you okay? He asks for ID, and the reaction is that he throws his bike down or throws it at the officer and starts to run, and he's grabbing something in his waistline. I mean, doesn't

that rise well above the standards necessary for both a Terry stop as well as probable cause to arrest him?

MS. JOHNSON: Well, let me take that in two parts, Your Honor. First, we have to focus on what the officer knew at the time that he made the initial stop and patdown of Mr. Morris. Okay? The attempt to flee and grabbing his waistband didn't occur until after that, so we'll set that aside for the moment and come back to that.

THE COURT: All that happened before that was he said, "Are you okay?"

MS. JOHNSON: Well, we don't dispute that Officer Nolasco had enough to stop and ask Mr. Morris questions. He had enough to perform an investigative stop.

THE COURT: Correct.

MS. JOHNSON: The case law is clear that that investigative stop has to be limited to the purposes of the stop. There were some -- arguably, some violations of the vehicle laws although not all the ones that the government cited. Some of those he clearly wasn't in violation of, and I'll get back to that in a moment.

THE COURT: Well, wrong side of the road and wrong direction; isn't that enough?

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I'm actually not -- if you look at the -- we can stop and focus on that. The

government cites several motor vehicle provisions that they say Mr. Morris was violating. One was reckless and negligent driving. That doesn't apply to bicycles. By its own terms, it only applies to motor vehicles.

And in terms of being on the wrong side of the roadway. There is actually a separate regulation that applies to bicycles with respect to being on the wrong side of the roadway. I apologize, Your Honor, I'll find it here in a second. Here we go. It's 21-1205, Riding on the Roadways or on Highways. This is a separate provision in terms of riding to the right of the roadway that applies to motor vehicles.

It says, "Each person operating a bicycle or motor scooter at the speed less than the speed of traffic at the time and place, and under the conditions then existing on a roadway, shall ride as near to the right side of the roadway as practicable and safe, except when avoiding pedestrians or road hazards," and there are several other exceptions.

So, there is a different standard that applies to bicycles with respect to riding on the right side of the roadway, and there are a number of caveats. You've got to be moving slower than existing traffic. Well, there was no traffic at the time. He was the only vehicle on his side of the road at the time.

And we also don't know whether he was attempting to avoid road hazards such as, you know, potholes or grates, things like that. But, admittedly, he was riding without a head lamp. So there was at least some, you know, violation of a traffic hazard. Nothing, I would argue, that involves public safety. But, again, we're not disputing that Officer Nolasco could pull over and at least investigate what he perceived to be this operation of the bicycle. The problem is what happened after that.

It's clear that how the officer conducts that stop has to be limited to the reasons for the stop. By escalating it into a patdown, there has to be -- he has to have specific reasons for believing that Mr. Morris was armed and dangerous as of that moment. Okay?

So, again, we're before the running; we're before the clutching the waistband. All we have is an individual riding a bicycle at night wearing what -- he couldn't recall the specifics of the jacket. He just said that in his opinion it wasn't weather appropriate. Again, we didn't have any testimony about what the weather was even that night.

And Mr. Morris is obviously out riding a bicycle. You know, he's exercising -- he's generating a fair amount of wind. So we don't have great testimony on why his attire is weather inappropriate. But we have an

individual riding a bicycle wearing a jacket who is not immediately responsive when asked a question. That, taken together, is simply not sufficient to allow an officer to lay hands on a person to conduct a patdown for weapons. It probably would have been fine for him to ask further questions say, you know, what are you doing out here? And he continued to ask him questions. That's what he should have done as to, you know.

THE COURT: He didn't have the opportunity to do that because he threw his bike down and ran.

MS. JOHNSON: After -- that was after Officer

Nolasco reached out and made contact with Mr. Morris.

And there's no dispute that once he lays hands on

Mr. Morris, that's when a seizure has occurred. Whether

or not Mr. Morris broke free -- it's very clear under ha

Hadari D. that, you know, no matter how slight, that

physical contact constitutes a seizure. That's the

moment we have to look at. Did he have enough reasonable

suspicion to conduct the patdown, the intrusion into

Mr. Morris' privacy to pat down for weapons. Did he

have, at that moment, reason to believe that he was armed
and dangerous.

And by, again, Officer Nolasco's testimony, when he pulled over, he didn't even bother to radio it in.

Okay? His alarm bells certainly weren't raised just by

the fact of this person weaving on the road late at night on his bicycle. And he himself testified that when he reached down, he didn't know what Mr. Morris was referring to when he said, well, you can have it. You can have it. And he said, well, he just reached in just to see if there was in fact a weapon.

This is not an officer who says, you know, I had reason to believe he had a weapon because I had had an anonymous tip, or because I saw a bulge, or because I saw a person reaching into their pocket. There is none of that testimony. The only reason we have for him touching Mr. Morris and attempting to do this initial patdown was based on the lateness of the hour; riding a bicycle in what he described in as erratic matter; wearing a jacket that has not been very well described in the testimony, we don't have any pictures of it. And him not being immediately responsive to questions.

Again, we're not disputing that he could pull over and perform an investigative stop, but it's a separate analysis whether he had specific, individualized reasons to suspect that Mr. Morris was armed and dangerous as of that moment.

And, again, we have to look at Officer Nolasco's experience at the time. He talks about it being a high crime area. He talks about it in his experience people

can hide weapons under heavy clothing, but he couldn't give any specific examples that he, as of that time, had ever arrested someone who had weapons secreted under heavy clothing. He didn't know the area well enough to give his proper location when he radioed in. And again, with all respect to Officer Nolasco, I'm not impugning his experiences as an officer. But in terms of looking at what his experience is, he's been relying on that as part of the analysis. I think it's relevant to look at what his experience is.

In other cases like *Perkins* and *Lender*, where they're talking about officers' experience; they're talking about 20 years of experience in the field or persons who conducted more than, you know, a dozen arrests on this particular block, or they've taken this many calls. And it's a very specific experience that that officer has.

THE COURT: What's the matter with the notion that the police department is well aware that this is a high crime area and imparts that knowledge to all of its officers to know that this is a high crime area? You could tell that to a rookie. And if the rookie is aware, because of what he's been advised by his colleagues that this is a high crime area, the officer can take that into account when he sees suspicious activity.

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, there is a certain departmental knowledge. But Officer Nolasco wasn't even sure where he was at the time. And we don't have clear testimony of what he considered to be the parameters of this high crime area.

THE COURT: Isn't it understandable? Something very surprising happens; it's 1 o'clock in the morning and suddenly a bicycle starts coming at you in the middle of the road? You might not get your map out and note where you are when something surprising like that happens. That's not remarkable, is it?

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, we're not disputing he had authority under <u>Terry versus Ohio</u> to pull over and conduct an investigative stop long enough to ascertain what Mr. Morris was doing and in order to make sure, as he said, that he was okay. But that's a very different question and analysis as to whether he had --

THE COURT: Well, he did do a *Terry* stop. That doesn't sound like there is any basis to dispute he did a legitimate *Terry* stop. And as soon as he started asking questions, the gentleman throws his bike down and starts to run.

MS. JOHNSON: Well, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Isn't that part of the mix when you now talk about reasonable, articulable suspicion?

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, going by Officer
Nolasco's testimony, he said that he reached out and
attempted to conduct the patdown, and he said he probably
made contact with Mr. Morris. Okay? He couldn't recall
exactly, but he said he probably did make contact.

THE COURT: I believe he said he was halfway out of his car when the bike was thrown down and he began to run. It's kind of hard to do a patdown when you're nearly halfway out of a car.

MS. JOHNSON: Again, Your Honor, we can only go by the testimony in the record. Officer Nolasco said he was trying to get out, but he also said he was close to the curb. We don't know whether he reached out through the window and touched him through the window of his car before coming out. We don't know.

All we know is he testified he probably touched him and he made the decision to pat him down based on the evidence that he had available then, which did not include Mr. Morris grabbing at his waistband or attempting to run. And at that moment -- we have to look at that moment -- he did not have reason to conduct a patdown of Mr. Morris. And, therefore, it's -- it was impermissible in terms of the statement.

THE COURT: He really didn't do a patdown until he had him at gunpoint.

MS. JOHNSON: But Your Honor, he had a seizure at that moment. We have to analyze as of the moment the seizure occurred.

THE COURT: You're saying the seizure occurred.

He's not even out of his vehicle. He's halfway out of his vehicle. He's asking the a fellow, according to his testimony, are you okay? And instead of responding, the fellow throws his bike down and starts to run. And you're saying that's a seizure?

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I think there's an argument under Hadari D. that Mr. Morris was actually seized as of the moment that he stopped riding his bicycle and submitted to the show of authority of Officer Nolasco turning on his lights. So as a threshold matter, even though there was later run that's separate.

Hadari D. was analyzing the situation in which there was never a show of authority but the person kept running and had to be forcibly tackled. That's not the situation we have here. Here, we have much more analogous to the situation described in Hadari D.where a person -- an officer lays hands on someone and then the person still breaks free. That still constitutes a seizure.

Mr. Morris did submit. He stopped. He had his feet on the ground. He was facing the officer and he

submitted to the show of authority, specifically the lights flashing on the car. And he was standing there and was available for answering questions. And it was only after Officer Nolasco had made contact with him that there was any attempt to flee, but he had already submitted -- there had already been a seizure, both because of his submission to authority and also because of Officer Nolasco touching him.

The case law is very clear that a contact by the police officer, however brief, however slight, constitutes a seizure, even if the person then breaks away. So, we have -- again, we have to analyze it as of that moment, not factoring in the grabbing the waistband and the fleeing that -- that occurred after. We have to look at the moment of the submission to the authority and/or the contact, however slight, with Mr. Morris.

Now, as for the statement. Our primary argument is that it's the fruit of the poisonous tree because the seizure was unlawful because the search was unlawful. There were statements that sort of flowed from that that should be suppressed. But, also, I think there was not adequate testimony here on the voluntariness of Mr. Morris' statement.

You know, Officer Nolasco admitted he wasn't in the room during at least part of the taking of the

statement. He was very unclear in his recollection of who the other officers were. It was clear another officer was asking a question afterwards, and I just don't think there has been sufficient testimony about the voluntariness about the statement. But, again, our primary argument is it should be suppressed because it resulted from an unlawful search.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Rosenberg.

MR. ROSENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, as to the statement. There's been no allegations that this was an involuntary statement. The government showed the Advice of Rights form which clearly explains Mr. Morris' rights and has Mr. Morris' signature at the bottom, and there has just been nothing offered to show this was a coerced or involuntary statement by Mr. Morris.

As to the arrest. I think Your Honor is exactly correct that there was no -- there was no ability to seize. This isn't a case where Mr. Morris stopped and put his hands on the hood of the car and there was a patdown. Officer Nolasco, as defense counsel concedes, was justified in turning his car around to talk to Mr. Morris. It's 1:30 in morning in a high crime area and there is somebody weaving in and out of a four-lane roadway on a bike with no head lamp on.

Officer Nolasco is allowed to heighten his suspicion when he begins to speak with Mr. Morris when Mr. Morris won't respond to his questions if he's okay, if he has any ID on him. And at the moment that Officer Nolasco decides to get out of his car, Mr. Morris flees. Which then, as Your Honor has pointed out, just adds to his reasonable suspicion more articulable facts. This is more headlong flight, the complete opposite of going about one's business. And the Fourth Circuit's made it clear in the Lender case that officers can take the time of day into account in the reasonable suspicion test. The lateness of the hour may raise the level of suspicion is what Lender held, as well as the headlong flight which was mentioned in Warlow in the Supreme Court, as well as the high crime area.

As Officer Nolasco testified, the SAT Team, the Saturation Team, only patrols high crime areas. He said that he's made nearly 100 arrests in this area. So, all of these factors taken together, along with the fact that there was no seizure until Mr. Morris actually submitted to the show of authority and turned around and was handcuffed. This would all rise to the level of reasonable suspicion.

And this -- the officer wasn't required to merely sit in his patrol car while Mr. Morris fled the scene and

shrugged his shoulders and did not investigate further.

This is precisely what the officer is charged with doing, which is investigating suspicious behavior. And all these factors on that night did justify this stop, and that's the government's position. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Anything further?

MS. JOHNSON: No, Your Honor. I would just put some citations into the record from <u>Terry versus Ohio</u> that, "The scope of the search must be strictly tied to and justified by the circumstance which rendered its initiation permissible." Again, the moment we're looking at is when the seizure occurred and not -- we shouldn't be factoring in the holding the waistband and the subsequent flight.

And again referring Your Honor to Hadari D. case which just cites Terry saying, "When an officer, by means of physical force or show of authority, has in some way restrained the liberty of a citizen, that constitutes a seizure. A 'seizure' refers to any application of physical force, even if it's ultimately unsuccessful."

THE COURT: Ms. Johnson, I'm not recalling the testimony quite the way you are in terms of him touching him. My recollection of the testimony, perhaps I'm in error, is that he testified that he made a U-turn, came up where he could talk to him from his driver's door

window and asked him if he was okay, and apparently asked for ID. Got neither. No response to either one of those. And then he started to exit his vehicle and the defendant threw down his bike, or "threw it at him," as my notes say, and ran. So I'm not sure where the seizure is in that very rapid fire series of events, which is followed by him fleeing and holding his waistband, and he's fleeing. I just don't understand factually where you're coming from.

MS. JOHNSON: Well there's two components, Your Honor. One is there is a seizure the moment Mr. Morris submitted to Officer Nolasco.

THE COURT: That's after he had a gun pointed at him, yes.

MS. JOHNSON: No. When he pulled up with the lights flashing and Mr. Morris stopped riding his bicycle, he didn't continue riding his bicycle. He stopped. He turn around. He had his feet planted on the ground.

THE COURT: You're saying that's a seizure -MS. JOHNSON: Correct. Correct. He was
submitting to a show of authority at that point. The
other prong of the analysis at that point, Your Honor, is
there was a seizure when Officer Nolasco actually made
physical contact with Mr. Morris. I submit, though,

there is some tension in his testimony. But, again, all we can go by is Officer Nolasco's testimony.

THE COURT: I'm not sure I recall the testimony the way you do.

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, when I asked him -- when I focused his attention on the his arrest report and his language that he had attempted to conduct a patdown, I asked him specifically if he had made physical contact. He said he's not -- he wasn't sure, maybe he did. And further redirect by the government said, well, when you said that you may have made physical contact, was that in the context of trying to stop him from running away or actually trying to pat him down for weapons? He said, well, I think it was both. I probably believe I did touch him. That's his testimony. At least that's my best recollection of his testimony.

It's certainly not unambiguous. But, I think, given the opportunity, his best recollection is that he probably did touch Mr. Morris, both in the context of trying to restrain him from leaving and in trying to pat down his garments for clothing. He didn't recall whether he actually felt any items during that brief little patdown that he was able to make.

So, Your Honor, that's our submission is that that contact, however brief, constituted a seizure under

Hadari D. and was not -- it was not authorized by the circumstances then known to Officer Nolasco. And a reasonable officer at that time wouldn't have determined that there was a basis to do a patdown for weapons.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. In this case we have a circumstance in which the defendant is riding a bicycle and weaving in a four-lane road and going onto the wrong side of the road and going in the wrong direction on the wrong side of the road, and it led to this officer making a U-turn and coming up to him and asking him is he okay, which would be, obviously, a legitimate inquiry when it's very late at night and somebody is driving erratically.

Ironically, I note that my hopefully future colleague Judge Ellen Hollander, who is anxiously awaiting Senate confirmation, wrote an opinion for the Court of Special Appeals in Cox versus State in 2005 inviting a bicycle stop just like this one; that's in 871 Atlantic 2d, 647. She made the same kind of observations concerning riding a bicycle the wrong way on a one-way street and indicated that the officer's clearly probable cause to effect a stop based on that traffic violation.

I don't believe, under the circumstances of this case, that simply turning the cruiser around, turning the lights on and asking a person, "Are you okay?" amounts to

a seizure. It was a legitimate traffic stop. And when the officer made inquiries to the defendant, he behaved very unusually by throwing down his bike -- my notes indicate "throwing it at him." But, in any event, throwing his bike down and running. And running in a suspicious manner, holding onto his waistband where, ultimately, what the officer's suspicion was turned out to be true, that it was a fully loaded .40 Caliber Glock revolver.

The testimony is minimal in terms of any notion of physical force being applied on this defendant before he had a chance to run. There was a rapid series of events, and I'm not going to get into parsing them to the level I believe that the defendant is trying to do.

This is an officer who has testified that he was halfway out of his cruiser. He wasn't even all the way standing on his feet when the bicycle got thrown down. How he can do a patdown or make any significant contact such as to constitute a seizure when he's only halfway out of a cruiser is beyond me.

It's clear that -- and I credit the testimony of this officer that he made an inquiry as to whether he was okay, and he got no response. Same thing with regard to requesting identification and that as he starts to get out, the gentleman throws the bike down and runs.

And so I, first of all, have a serious question as to whether that could be considered a seizure under any circumstances. It's clear, and it's hard for the defense to argue otherwise, that there was probable cause to believe that he had committed traffic violations, as was clearly found by my future colleague Judge Hollander in the Cox case. That is sufficient to effect the traffic stop in this case.

Ultimately, this defendant was stopped at the point of a gun when he ran. Under all of these circumstances, holding -- clutching his waistband. And clearly when you have the circumstance of unprovoked flight, which is what we have here, that's a significant factor to take into account, together with all the other circumstances of this case; the late night, the dark clothing, the high crime area. And that would justify stopping and briefly detaining a person for investigative purposes.

Under all of the circumstances, I conclude that this arrest was entirely properly under <u>Terry versus Ohio</u> and all the other cases that have been cited today.

With regard to the motion to suppress statements.

I do not conclude that the securing of the statement from this gentleman was the product of an unlawful arrest or seizure. And with regard to the circumstances under

which the statement was made, it's clear that the defendant was provided, in writing, all of the warnings required by <u>Miranda versus Arizona</u> that he initialled the appropriate responses. He gave a voluntary statement.

I find that the statement was not only in compliance with *Miranda* but also was voluntary. For those reasons I will deny the defendant's motion to suppress evidence and the motion to suppress statements, which are docket entries 26 and 27.

Thank you.

(Off the record at 9:56 a.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Tracy Rae Dunlap, RPR, CRR, an Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court of Maryland, do hereby certify that I reported, by machine shorthand, the proceedings had in the case of UNITED STATES OF AMERICA versus MARCUS MORRIS, Criminal Action Number RWT-10-029 on October 20, 2010.

In witness whereof, I have hereto subscribed my name, this 25th day of October 2010.

__/S/__Tracy Rae Dunlap___
TRACY RAE DUNLAP, RPR, CRR
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER